

Executive Order No. 17 Local Government Mandate Evaluation Impact on Local Government and Property Taxpayers

Submitting Agency: Department of Financial Services

NYCRR Citation: Sixth Amendment to 11 NYCRR 151 (Insurance Regulation 119) Adding New Subpart 151-7

Description of the Regulation: Workers' Compensation Safe Patient Handling Program

Statutory Authority for the Regulation: Financial Services Law Sections 202 and 302 and Insurance Law Sections 301 and 2304(j).

Agency Contact: Joana Lucashuk, Associate Attorney, Office of General Counsel

Telephone: (212) 480-2125

Email: joana.lucashuk@dfs.ny.gov

1. Does the regulation impose a mandate on a county, city, town, village, school district or special district that requires such entity to:

a. Provide or undertake any program, project or activity;

Yes

No

b. Increase spending for an existing program, project or activity (even if such program, project or activity is voluntarily undertaken by a local government unit);

Yes

No

c. Grant any new property tax exemption, or broaden the eligibility or increase the value of any existing property tax exemption; or

Yes

No

d. Carry out a legal requirement that would likely have the effect of raising property taxes.

Yes

No

If the answer to all questions above are “no,” ensuring the regulation will not result in a mandate on local governments and property taxpayers, an accounting and the approval of the Office for Taxpayer Accountability are not required. If the answer to any question above is “yes,” and the regulation may have a fiscal impact on local governments and property taxpayers, please proceed to items 2 – 3.

2. Is the mandate required by federal law or regulation or state law?

Yes

No

a. **If yes, please cite the specific provision in the statute or federal regulation.** Insurance Law Section 2304(j)

b. **If yes, please describe any elements of the regulation not specifically mandated by the statute or regulation.** The rule requires every rate service organization to submit a report to the Superintendent of Financial Services (“Superintendent”) regarding policies receiving a credit, including policy year payrolls, indemnity losses, indemnity claim counts, and medical losses by classification, and such other information as the Superintendent may require, in order to obtain information to comply with the statutorily-required reports the Superintendent must submit to the Legislature in 2018 and 2020.

3. **If any portion of the mandate is not required by federal or state law, please attach to this Checklist an Accounting for such portion containing:***

- a. **A description of the mandate in the regulation;**
- b. **An accounting of the impacts of such mandate that includes:**
 - (i) **A fiscal impact statement;**
 - (ii) **A cost-benefit analysis, which includes:**
 - (x) **a specific delineation of the costs and benefits to local governments and property taxpayers; and**
 - (y) **a quantification of the impact on local government revenue and expenditures, where such impact is quantifiable based on available information (please consult with the Governor’s Office of Regulatory Reform if further guidance is needed);**
- c. **A description of input sought and received from affected local governments;**
- d. **A description of the proposed revenue sources to fund such mandate; and**
- e. **An explanation as to why this regulation should be advanced with a mandate.**

*Note: The “Regulatory and Flexibility Analysis for Small Businesses and Local Governments” may be attached so long as the items set forth in 3 above are fully accounted for in the Analysis.

4. **To be answered by the Deputy Secretary and Governor’s Counsel:**

Is the cost impact of the mandate negligible?

Deputy Secretary: (name)

Yes No

Governor’s Counsel: (name)

Yes No