2329

Dear Superintendent Lawsky and General Counsel Syracuse,%0D%0A%0D%0AI'm writing you today to express my deep concerns about the "BitLicense" proposal. The current framework threatens the privacy of virtual currency users, innovators, and researchers. In particular:%0D%0A%0D%0A1.%09The BitLicense is extremely broad, requiring licenses for far more than just money services.%0D%0A2.%09It infringes on the privacy rights of individual users. Companies that obtain a BitLicense could be forced to collect identifying data on account holders and end users including full name and physical address. This information will be kept on file for 10 years in case the government seeks it. So while individual users may not need a BitLicense, their privacy will be seriously affected.%0D%0A3.%09It forces virtual currency innovators to undergo rigorous background checks and submit fingerprints to state and federal law enforcement. This will create a barrier to entry for start ups and inventors looking to create new services.%0D%0A4.%09The proposal as written raises First Amendment concerns.%0D%0A%0D%0AIt's premature to craft regulations for an industry that's so new and still in flux. Bitcoin and similar virtual currencies are still in their infancy, and we don't yet know what new tools and services might be created. This regulatory proposal could cut that innovation off at the knees, before we have a chance to see the potential societal benefits.%0D%0A%0D%0AThe NY DFS is letting the fear of money laundering drive a massive regulatory proposal forward that would affect users who are doing nothing wrong. NY DFS should respect the privacy of technology users, and limit its regulation to what is proportionate to the real threat at hand.%0D%0A%0D%0ASincerely,%0D%0A

Best, WiNoJoE.

:*)