

Statement as to Why a Revised Regulatory Impact Statement (“RIS”) is Not Required for the Adoption of the Thirty-Sixth Amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83)

A revised RIS is not required for the adoption of the thirty-sixth amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83) because the non-substantive revisions to Appendix 17-C, Part E do not require a change to the previously published RIS.

Statement as to Why a Revised Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for Small Businesses and Local Governments (“RFA”) is Not Required for the Adoption of the Thirty-Sixth Amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83)

A revised RFA is not required for the adoption of the thirty-sixth amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83) because the non-substantive revisions to Appendix 17-C, Part E do not require a change to the previously published RFA.

Statement as to Why a Revised Rural Area Flexibility Analysis (“RAFA”) is Not Required for the Adoption of the Thirty-Sixth Amendment to 11 NYCRR 83 (Insurance Regulation 68)

A revised RAFA is not required for the adoption of the thirty-sixth amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83) because the non-substantive revisions to Appendix 17-C, Part E do not require a change to the previously published RAFA.

Statement as to Why a Revised Job Impact Statement (“JIS”) is Not Required for the Adoption of the Thirty-Sixth Amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83)

A revised JIS is not required for the adoption of the thirty-sixth amendment to 11 NYCRR 68 (Insurance Regulation 83) because the non-substantive revisions to Appendix 17-C, Part E do not require a change to the previously published JIS.